ORIGINAL



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

1 2

MIKE GLEASON

KRISTIN MAYES

GARY PIERCE

Chairman

WILLIAM MUNDELL

JEFF HATCH-MILLER Commissioner

Commissioner

Commissioner

Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF INVESTIGATION INTO

US WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S

WHOLESALE PRICING REQUIREMENTS

FOR UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS

COMPLIANCE WITH CERTAIN

AND RESALE DISCOUNTS

3

45

6

7 8

10 11

9

1213

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

2007 APR 10 A 10: 13

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

APR 10 2007

DOCKETED BY

11/4

DOCKET No. T-00000A-00-0194

INITIAL RESPONSE OF QWEST CORPORATION TO COMMISSION STAFF'S MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE DOCKETS AND RESPONSE TO COX ARIZONA TELCOM'S MOTION TO COMMENCE PHASE III OF THE QWEST UNE PRICING DOCKET

On April 5, 2007, Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") received in the mail the Commission Staff's Motion to Consolidate Dockets and Response to Cox Arizona Telcom's Motion to Commence Phase III of the Qwest UNE Pricing Docket ("Staff's Motion"), seeking to institute a new phase in the wholesale cost docket, which for the most part has been inactive for five years. Staff requests that a Procedural Conference be held On April 16, 2007. Qwest hereby files its initial comments in opposition to the quick scheduling proposed by the Staff. For the reasons stated below, a Procedural Conference on April 16 is not possible. Qwest asks for the opportunity for Qwest, Staff, and other industry participants to consult and coordinate the date for an initial procedural conference and subsequent procedural schedule, and to make a joint scheduling proposal to the Hearing Division, if possible.

Prior to receiving Staff's Motion, Qwest had no indication that the Staff considered the
Phase III Cost Docket to be a priority matter, much less that it should be processed as if it were
an emergency. Qwest has not had adequate opportunity to consider the scope of issues it
believes should be addressed, to assess the resources that will be required, or to determine when
the people who must be involved in the case are available.

The Staff did not consult with Qwest regarding its proposed schedule. On the same day that Staff seeks to have a Procedural Conference to initiate Phase III of the Cost Docket, Qwest counsel will be in San Francisco, California, in connection with the oral argument at the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in Qwest Corporation v. Arizona Corporation Commission, et al., and Mountain Telecommunications, Inc. (Court of Appeals No. 05-15157), which is coincidentally a case that arose out of the Phase II Cost Docket. Therefore, a Procedural Conference on April 16 is not possible for Qwest.

The scope of a potential Phase III Cost Docket is outlined in vague terms in the Staff Motion. Qwest respectfully submits that the matters that might be addressed in Phase III deserve deliberate thought and care, and that the Staff's proposed schedule is unduly precipitous. As the Commission is aware, Cost Dockets are complicated, intensive, time-consuming regulatory proceedings. Accordingly, the Commission should establish a schedule that provides ample time for Qwest and other industry participants to participate in a meaningful way.

For the reasons stated above, a Procedural Conference on April 16 is not possible. Qwest proposes that Qwest, Staff, and other industry participants first attempt to work together to coordinate the date for an initial procedural conference and procedural schedule, and if agreement can be reached, make a proposal to the Commission.

Qwest respectfully asks that it be allowed to reserve the opportunity to file further comments in Response to the Staff's Motion, concerning the scope of the matters that might be included in any cost docket proceeding.

14		
1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this	10th day of April, 2007.
2		
3		QWEST CORPORATION
4		
5	r	By: Vorman A. Curhirt
6		Norman G. Curtright Corporate Counsel
7		20 East Thomas Road, 16 th Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85012
8		Telephone: (602) 630-2187
9	for filing this 10 th day of April, 2007, to:	
10		
11	Docket Control ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	· ·
12	1200 West Washington Street	
13	Phoenix, AZ 85007	
14	1 + +FJ +	
15	this 10th day of April, 2007, to:	
16	Dwight Nodes, Esq. Assistant Chief ALJ, Hearing Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington	
17		
18	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
19	Maureen Scott, Esq.	
20	Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission	
21	1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
22	Ernest G. Johnson, Esq.	
23	Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission	
24	1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
25	THOCHIX, ATIZUHA 05007	
26		

1	Copy of the foregoing mailed	
2 .	this 10th day of April, 2007 to:	
3	Michael W. Patten	
4	J. Matthew Derstine ROSHKA DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC	
5	One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800	
6	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Email: mpatten@rdp-law.com	
7	mderstine@rdp-law.com	
8	Joan S. Burke, Esq.	
9	OSBORN MALEDON 2929 North Central Avenue, 21 st Floor	
10	Phoenix, AZ 85012 Email: jburke@omlaw.com	
11	Jeffrey W. Crockett, Esq.	
12	SNELL & WILMER, L.L.P. One Arizona Center	
13	400 East Van Buren Street Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202	
14	Michael Grant, Esq.	
15	GALLAGHER & KENNEDY 2575 East Camelback Road Phoening A7, 85016, 0225	
16	Phoenix, AZ 85016-9225	
17	Thomas H. Campbell, Esq.	
18	LEWIS & ROCA 40 North Central Avenue	
19	Phoenix, AZ 85007	
20	Scott S. Wakefield, Esq.	
21	Residential Utility Consumer Office 1110 West Washington Street, Suite 220	
22	Phoenix, AZ 85007	
23	40 /	
24	- Wane dispar	
25		